

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/051,314	01/18/2002	Bradford Russell Wood	SC 036	4785	
75	90 12/23/2003		EXAM	INER	
Guy McLung			POPOVICS, ROBERT J		
PMB 347 16690 Champio	on Forest Drive		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Spring, TX 77379-7023			1724		
			DATE MAILED: 12/23/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$-\kappa_0$
	10/051,314	WOOD ET AL.	M
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	Robert J. Popovics	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply		ith the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by ste - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir fiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute. cause the application to become AF	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this comm ANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)	nunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	<u>5 July 2003</u> .		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ The	his action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closes.	wance except for formal matt er <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the m . 11, 453 O.G. 213.	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 and 17-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 11-16 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers	,		
9)⊡ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-	152.
	demonstration of the OF HOO.	24404 240	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language preference was included in the reference was included in the first sentence of	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ist of the certified copies not a estic priority under 35 U.S.C. first sentence of the specifical provisional application has be estic priority under 35 U.S.C.	oplication No received in this National Stateceived. § 119(e) (to a provisional apation or in an Application Dateceived. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a si	oplication) ta Sheet.
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152	

Application/Control Number: 10/051,314

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,9,10 and 17-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wood (US 6,106,733). See column 2, line 28 through column 6, line 45.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Shenoi (US** 5,413,129). See column 1, line 64 through column 2, line 42.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combined teachings of Wood (US 6,106,733) and Nye (US 6,120,650).

Claims **2-8** differ from Wood, by specifying a quenching step. Nye, at column 4, line 20, teaches a quenching step. In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ a quenching step in the system of Wood, in order to aid in condensing out water and some hydrocarbons.

Page 3

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert J.

Popovics at telephone number (571) 272-1164.

Robert J. Popovics Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1724

December 15, 2003